

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 31, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-13358

CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Florida

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

59-2273542

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

217 North Monroe Street, Tallahassee, Florida

(Address of principal executive office)

32301

(Zip Code)

(850) 402-7000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

At April 30, 2011, 17,127,289 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, \$.01 par value, were outstanding.

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.
QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q
FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2011
TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
<u>PART I – Financial Information</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
<u>Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition – March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations – Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareowners’ Equity – Three Months Ended March 31, 2011</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow – Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010</u>	7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	8
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
<u>Item 3.</u>	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk</u>	36
<u>Item 4.</u>	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	36
<u>PART II – Other Information</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	36
<u>Item 1A.</u>	
<u>Risk Factors</u>	36
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	36
<u>Item 3.</u>	
<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	36
<u>Item 4.</u>	
<u>[Removed and Reserved]</u>	36
<u>Item 5.</u>	
<u>Other Information</u>	36
<u>Item 6.</u>	
<u>Exhibits</u>	37
<u>Signatures</u>	38

INTRODUCTORY NOTE
Caution Concerning Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, among others, statements about our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, estimates and intentions that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors, many of which are beyond our control. The words “may,” “could,” “should,” “would,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “target,” “goal,” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to risks and uncertainties. Our actual future results may differ materially from those set forth in our forward-looking statements.

Our ability to achieve our financial objectives could be adversely affected by the factors discussed in detail in Part I, Item 2. “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and Part II, Item 1A. “Risk Factors” in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the following sections of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (the “2010 Form 10-K”): (a) “Introductory Note” in Part I, Item 1. “Business”; (b) “Risk Factors” in Part I, Item 1A., as updated in our subsequent quarterly reports filed on Form 10-Q, and (c) “Introduction” in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” in Part II, Item 7. as well as:

- legislative or regulatory changes, including The Dodd-Frank Act;
- the strength of the United States economy in general and the strength of the local economies in which we conduct operations;
- the accuracy of our financial statement estimates and assumptions, including the estimate for our loan loss provision and the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets;
- the frequency and magnitude of foreclosure of our loans;
- continued depression of the market value of the Company that could result in an impairment of goodwill;
- restrictions on our operations, including the inability to pay dividends without our regulators’ consent;
- the effects of the health and soundness of other financial institutions, including the FDIC’s need to increase Deposit Insurance Fund assessments;
- our ability to declare and pay dividends;
- changes in the securities and real estate markets;
- changes in monetary and fiscal policies of the U.S. Government;
- inflation, interest rate, market and monetary fluctuations;
-
- the effects of our lack of a diversified loan portfolio, including the risks of geographic and industry concentrations;
- our need and our ability to incur additional debt or equity financing;
-
- the effects of harsh weather conditions, including hurricanes, and man-made disasters;
- our ability to comply with the extensive laws and regulations to which we are subject;
- the willingness of clients to accept third-party products and services rather than our products and services and vice versa;
- increased competition and its effect on pricing;
- technological changes;
- negative publicity and the impact on our reputation;
- the effects of security breaches and computer viruses that may affect our computer systems;
- changes in consumer spending and saving habits;
- growth and profitability of our noninterest income;
- changes in accounting principles, policies, practices or guidelines;
- the limited trading activity of our common stock;
- the concentration of ownership of our common stock;
- anti-takeover provisions under federal and state law as well as our Articles of Incorporation and our Bylaws;
- our ability to integrate the business and operations of companies and banks that we have acquired, and those we may acquire in the future;
- other risks described from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission; and
- our ability to manage the risks involved in the foregoing.

However, other factors besides those referenced also could adversely affect our results, and you should not consider any such list of factors to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties. Any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf speak only as of the date they are made. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement, except as required by applicable law.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION
AS OF MARCH 31, 2011 AND DECEMBER 31, 2010**

<i>(Dollars In Thousands, Except Share Data)</i>	Unaudited March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
ASSETS		
Cash and Due From Banks	\$ 52,000	\$ 35,410
Federal Funds Sold and Interest Bearing Deposits	271,375	200,783
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	323,375	236,193
Investment Securities, Available-for-Sale	311,356	309,731
Loans, Net of Unearned Interest	1,714,794	1,758,671
Allowance for Loan Losses	(33,873)	(35,436)
Loans, Net	1,680,921	1,723,235
Premises and Equipment, Net	113,918	115,356
Goodwill	84,811	84,811
Other Intangible Assets	995	1,348
Other Real Estate Owned	55,364	57,937
Other Assets	91,754	93,442
Total Assets	\$ 2,662,494	\$ 2,622,053
LIABILITIES		
Deposits:		
Noninterest Bearing Deposits	\$ 540,184	\$ 546,257
Interest Bearing Deposits	1,606,863	1,557,719
Total Deposits	2,147,047	2,103,976
Short-Term Borrowings	86,650	92,928
Subordinated Notes Payable	62,887	62,887
Other Long-Term Borrowings	50,050	50,101
Other Liabilities	56,582	53,142
Total Liabilities	2,403,216	2,363,034
SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY		
Preferred Stock, \$.01 par value, 3,000,000 shares authorized; no shares outstanding	—	—
Common Stock, \$.01 par value, 90,000,000 shares authorized; 17,127,236 and 17,100,081 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively	171	171
Additional Paid-In Capital	37,548	36,920
Retained Earnings	237,276	237,679
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Tax	(15,717)	(15,751)
Total Shareowners' Equity	259,278	259,019
Total Liabilities and Shareowners' Equity	\$ 2,662,494	\$ 2,622,053

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended	
	2011	2010
<i>(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)</i>		
INTEREST INCOME		
Interest and Fees on Loans	\$ 23,947	\$ 26,992
Investment Securities:		
U.S. Treasuries	401	103
U.S. Government Agencies	357	320
States and Political Subdivisions	219	490
Other Securities	94	77
Federal Funds Sold	171	172
Total Interest Income	25,189	28,154
INTEREST EXPENSE		
Deposits	1,258	2,938
Short-Term Borrowings	111	17
Subordinated Notes Payable	340	651
Other Long-Term Borrowings	494	526
Total Interest Expense	2,203	4,132
NET INTEREST INCOME	22,986	24,022
Provision for Loan Losses	4,133	10,740
Net Interest Income After Provision For Loan Losses	18,853	13,282
NONINTEREST INCOME		
Service Charges on Deposit Accounts	5,983	6,628
Data Processing	974	900
Asset Management Fees	1,080	1,020
Securities Transactions	—	5
Mortgage Banking Fees	617	508
Bank Card Fees	2,496	2,175
Gain on Sale of Visa Stock	3,172	—
Other	2,012	2,731
Total Noninterest Income	16,334	13,967
NONINTEREST EXPENSE		
Salaries and Associate Benefits	16,577	16,779
Occupancy, Net	2,396	2,408
Furniture and Equipment	2,226	2,181
Intangible Amortization	353	710
Other Real Estate Expense	3,677	2,825
Other	8,102	8,481
Total Noninterest Expense	33,331	33,384
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	1,856	(6,135)
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	546	(2,672)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 1,310	\$ (3,463)
Basic Net Income (Loss) Per Share	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.20)
Diluted Net Income (Loss) Per Share	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.20)
Average Basic Shares Outstanding	17,121,602	17,057,061
Average Diluted Shares Outstanding	17,130,118	17,069,550

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY
(Unaudited)

<i>(Dollars In Thousands, Except Share Data)</i>	Shares Outstanding	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income, Net of Taxes	Total
Balance, December 31, 2010	17,100,081	\$ 171	\$ 36,920	\$ 237,679	\$ (15,751)	\$ 259,019
Comprehensive Income:						
Net Income	—	—	—	1,310	—	1,310
Net Change in Unrealized Gain On Available-for-Sale Securities (net of tax)	—	—	—	—	34	34
Total Comprehensive Income	—	—	—	—	—	1,344
Cash Dividends (\$0.10 per share)	—	—	—	(1,713)	—	(1,713)
Stock Performance Plan Compensation	—	—	215	—	—	215
Issuance of Common Stock	27,155	—	413	—	—	413
Balance, March 31, 2011	17,127,236	\$ 171	\$ 37,548	\$ 237,276	\$ (15,717)	\$ 259,278

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31
(Unaudited)

(Dollars in Thousands)

	2011	2010
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 1,310	\$ (3,463)
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to		
Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Provision for Loan Losses	4,133	10,740
Depreciation	1,753	1,689
Net Securities Amortization	1,021	689
Amortization of Intangible Assets	353	710
Gain (Loss) on Securities Transactions	—	(5)
Origination of Loans Held-for-Sale	(24,032)	(22,089)
Proceeds From Sales of Loans Held-for-Sale	27,812	23,963
Net Gain From Sales of Loans Held-for-Sale	(617)	(508)
Non-Cash Compensation	215	358
Decrease in Deferred Income Taxes	918	2,251
Net Decrease in Other Assets	12,394	4,218
Net Increase (Decrease) in Other Liabilities	3,440	(2,049)
Net Cash Provided By Operating Activities	28,700	16,504
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Securities Available-for-Sale:		
Purchases	(24,677)	(69,842)
Sales	—	505
Payments, Maturities, and Calls	22,090	27,379
Net Decrease in Loans	25,940	34,312
Purchase of Premises & Equipment	(315)	(3,304)
Net Cash Provided By (Used) In Investing Activities	23,038	(10,950)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Increase in Deposits	43,071	24,706
Net Decrease in Short-Term Borrowings	(6,277)	(16,941)
Increase in Other Long-Term Borrowings	790	2,429
Repayment of Other Long-Term Borrowings	(840)	(1,131)
Dividends Paid	(1,713)	(3,242)
Issuance of Common Stock	413	360
Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	35,444	6,181
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	87,182	11,735
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	236,193	334,293
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 323,375	\$ 346,028
Supplemental Disclosure:		
Interest Paid on Deposits	\$ 1,432	\$ 2,937
Interest Paid on Debt	945	1,192
Taxes Paid	1,273	166
Loans Transferred to Other Real Estate Owned	9,078	15,100
Issuance of Common Stock as Non-Cash Compensation	413	359
Transfer of Current Portion of Long-Term Borrowings	\$ —	\$ 8

The accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are an integral part of these statements.

NOTE 1 - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

Capital City Bank Group, Inc. ("CCBG" or the "Company") provides a full range of banking and banking-related services to individual and corporate clients through its subsidiary, Capital City Bank, with banking offices located in Florida, Georgia, and Alabama. The Company is subject to competition from other financial institutions, is subject to regulation by certain government agencies and undergoes periodic examinations by those regulatory authorities.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including Regulation S-X. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. Prior period financial statements have been reformatted and amounts reclassified, as necessary, to conform to the current presentation. The Company and its subsidiary follow accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP") and reporting practices applicable to the banking industry. The principles that materially affect its financial position, results of operations and cash flows are set forth in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which are included in the 2010 Form 10-K.

In the opinion of management, the consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, which are those of a recurring nature, and disclosures necessary to present fairly the financial position of the Company as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENT SECURITIES

Investment Portfolio Composition. The amortized cost and related market value of investment securities available-for-sale were as follows:

(Dollars in Thousands)	March 31, 2011			
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Market Value
U.S. Treasury	\$ 174,881	\$ 1,152	\$ 136	\$ 175,897
U.S. Government Agencies and Corporations	—	—	—	—
States and Political Subdivisions	68,865	278	2	69,141
Mortgage-Backed Securities	53,822	622	190	54,254
Other Securities(1)	12,664	—	600	12,064
Total Investment Securities	\$ 310,232	\$ 2,052	\$ 928	\$ 311,356

(Dollars in Thousands)	December 31, 2010			
	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Market Value
U.S. Treasury	\$ 160,913	\$ 1,371	\$ 134	\$ 162,150
U.S. Government Agencies and Corporations	—	—	—	—
States and Political Subdivisions	78,990	319	9	79,300
Mortgage-Backed Securities	56,099	678	560	56,217
Other Securities(1)	12,664	—	600	12,064
Total Investment Securities	\$ 308,666	\$ 2,368	\$ 1,303	\$ 309,731

(1) Includes Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock recorded at cost of \$7.2 million and \$4.8 million, respectively, at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Securities with an amortized cost of \$165.7 million and \$131.6 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, were pledged to secure public deposits and for other purposes.

The Company's subsidiary, Capital City Bank, as a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Atlanta, is required to own capital stock in the FHLB of Atlanta based generally upon the balances of residential and commercial real estate loans, and FHLB advances. FHLB stock of \$7.2 million which is included in other securities is pledged to secure FHLB advances. No ready market exists for this stock, and it has no quoted market value. However, redemption of this stock has historically been at par value.

Maturity Distribution. As of March 31, 2011, the Company's investment securities had the following maturity distribution based on contractual maturities:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Amortized Cost		Market Value	
Due in one year or less	\$	85,816	\$	86,055
Due after one through five years		204,489		206,018
Due after five through ten years		7,263		7,219
Due over ten years		—		—
No Maturity		12,664		12,064
 Total Investment Securities	 \$	 310,232	 \$	 311,356

Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Other Than Temporarily Impaired Securities. The following table summarizes the investment securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2011 aggregated by major security type and length of time in a continuous unrealized loss position:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011					
	Less Than 12 Months		Greater Than 12 Months		Total	
	Market Value	Unrealized Losses	Market Value	Unrealized Losses	Market Value	Unrealized Losses
U.S. Treasury	\$ 33,254	\$ 136	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 33,254	\$ 136
U.S. Government Agencies and Corporations States and Political Subdivisions	—	—	—	—	—	—
	1,073	2	—	—	1,073	2
Mortgage-Backed Securities	18,311	190	—	—	18,311	190
Other Securities	—	—	600	600	600	600
 Total Investment Securities	 \$ 52,638	 \$ 328	 \$ 600	 \$ 600	 \$ 53,238	 \$ 928

Management evaluates securities for other than temporary impairment at least quarterly, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. Consideration is given to: 1) the length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost, 2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and 3) the intent and ability of the Company to retain its investment in the issuer for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in cost. In analyzing an issuer's financial condition, management considers whether the securities are issued by the federal government or its agencies, whether downgrades by rating agencies have occurred, regulatory issues, and analysts' reports.

At March 31, 2011, the Company had securities of \$310.2 million with net pre-tax unrealized gains of \$1.1 million on these securities, of which \$52.6 million have unrealized losses totaling \$0.9 million. Approximately \$0.3 million of these securities have been in a loss position for less than 12 months. These securities are primarily in a loss position because they were acquired when the general level of interest rates was lower than that on March 31, 2011. The Company believes that the losses in these securities are temporary in nature and that the full principal will be collected as anticipated. Because the declines in the market value of these investments are attributable to changes in interest rates and not credit quality and because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until there is a recovery in fair value, which may be at maturity, the Company does not consider these investments to be other-than-temporarily impaired at March 31, 2011. One preferred bank stock issue for \$0.6 million has been in a loss position for greater than 12 months. The Company continues to closely monitor the fair value of this security as the subject bank continues to experience negative operating trends.

NOTE 3 - LOANS

The composition of the Company's loan portfolio was as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 153,960	\$ 157,394
Real Estate-Construction	35,614	43,239
Real Estate-Commercial	668,583	671,702
Real Estate-Residential ⁽¹⁾	406,579	424,229
Real Estate-Home Equity	248,745	251,565
Real Estate-Loans Held-for-Sale	2,723	6,312
Consumer	198,590	204,230
Loans, Net of Unearned Interest	<u>\$ 1,714,794</u>	<u>\$ 1,758,671</u>

(1) Includes loans in process with outstanding balances of \$10.2 million and \$5.1 million for March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

Net deferred fees included in loans at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were \$1.8 million.

Past Due Loans. A loan is defined as a past due loan when one full payment is past due or a contractual maturity is over 30 days past due ("DPD").

The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 by class of loans:

<i>March 31, 2011 (Dollars in Thousands)</i>	30-59 DPD	60-89 DPD	Over 90 DPD	Total Past Due	Total Current	Total Loans
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 1,111	125	—	1,236	152,042	\$ 153,960
Real Estate - Construction	466	—	—	466	33,276	35,614
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	3,893	1,646	—	5,539	627,043	668,583
Real Estate - Residential	6,736	1,364	—	8,100	371,019	409,302
Real Estate - Home Equity	1,208	266	—	1,474	242,768	248,745
Consumer	2,249	327	—	2,576	195,300	198,590
Total Past Due Loans	<u>\$ 15,663</u>	<u>3,728</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>19,391</u>	<u>1,621,448</u>	<u>\$ 1,714,794</u>

<i>December 31, 2010 (Dollars in Thousands)</i>	30-59 DPD	60-89 DPD	Over 90 DPD	Total Past Due	Total Current	Total Loans
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 645	193	—	838	155,497	\$ 157,394
Real Estate - Construction	314	129	—	443	40,890	43,239
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	5,577	840	—	6,417	638,411	671,702
Real Estate - Residential	7,171	3,958	120	11,249	389,103	430,541
Real Estate - Home Equity	1,444	698	39	2,182	244,579	251,565
Consumer	2,867	356	—	3,223	200,139	204,230
Total Past Due Loans	<u>\$ 18,018</u>	<u>6,174</u>	<u>159</u>	<u>24,352</u>	<u>1,668,619</u>	<u>\$ 1,758,671</u>

Nonaccrual Loans. Loans are generally placed on non-accrual status if principal or interest payments become 90 days past due and/or management deems the collectability of the principal and/or interest to be doubtful. Loans are returned to accrual status when the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current or when future payments are reasonably assured.

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans and loans past due over 90 days and still on accrual by class of loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Nonaccrual	Over 90 Days	Nonaccrual	Over 90 Days
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 682	—	\$ 1,059	\$ —
Real Estate - Construction	1,872	—	1,907	—
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	36,001	—	26,874	—
Real Estate - Residential	30,183	—	30,189	120
Real Estate - Home Equity	4,502	—	4,803	39
Consumer	714	—	868	—
Total Nonaccrual Loans	\$ 73,954	—	\$ 65,700	\$ 159

Credit Quality Indicators. As part of the ongoing monitoring of the Company's loan portfolio quality, management categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment performance, credit documentation, and current economic/market trends, among other factors. Risk ratings are assigned to each loan and revised as needed through established monitoring procedures for individual loan relationships over a predetermined amount and review of smaller balance homogenous loan pools. [0]The Company uses the following definitions for the categorizing and managing its problem loans.

Special Mention – Loans in this category are presently protected from loss, but weaknesses are apparent which, if not corrected, could cause future problems. Adverse economic or market conditions may negatively impact the borrower's ability to repay. Loans in this category may not meet required underwriting criteria and have no mitigating factors. More than the ordinary amount of attention is warranted for these loans.

Substandard – Loans in this category exhibit well-defined weaknesses that would typically bring normal repayment into jeopardy. These loans are no longer adequately protected due to well-defined weaknesses that affect the repayment capacity of the borrower. The possibility of loss is much more evident and above average supervision is required for these loans.

Nonaccrual – Loans in this category are on nonaccrual status due to the borrower's inability to meet the repayment terms and where future capacity to repay is remote.

The following table presents the risk category of loans by segment as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

March 31, 2011 <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Commercial, Financial, Agriculture				Total
	Commercial, Financial, Agriculture	Real Estate	Consumer		
Special Mention	\$ 12,050	\$ 108,354	\$ 83		\$ 120,487
Substandard	9,300	133,842	716		143,858
Nonaccrual	682	72,558	714		73,954
Total Loans	\$ 22,032	\$ 314,754	\$ 1,513		\$ 338,299

December 31, 2010 <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Commercial, Financial, Agriculture				Total
	Commercial, Financial, Agriculture	Real Estate	Consumer		
Special Mention	\$ 20,539	\$ 100,008	\$ 102		\$ 120,649
Substandard	10,599	165,143	719		176,461
Nonaccrual	1,060	63,773	867		65,700
Total Loans	\$ 32,198	\$ 328,924	\$ 1,688		\$ 362,810

NOTE 4 - ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

An analysis of the changes in the allowance for loan losses for the three month periods ended March 31 was as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	2011	2010
Balance, Beginning of Year	\$ 35,436	\$ 43,999
Provision for Loan Losses	4,133	10,740
Recoveries on Loans Previously Charged-Off	520	889
Loans Charged-Off	(6,216)	(14,429)
Balance, End of Year	<u>\$ 33,873</u>	<u>\$ 41,199</u>

The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio class based on impairment method as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

March 31, 2011 <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Commercial, Financial, Agricultural	Real Estate Construction	Real Estate Commercial Mortgage	Real Estate Residential	Real Estate Home Equity	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Allowance Allocated to:								
Loans Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 174	481	5,508	7,165	1,212	58	—	\$ 14,598
Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	1,265	1,023	4,529	8,372	1,422	1,719	945	19,275
Total	<u>\$ 1,439</u>	<u>1,504</u>	<u>10,037</u>	<u>15,537</u>	<u>2,634</u>	<u>1,777</u>	<u>945</u>	<u>\$ 33,873</u>
Total Loans:								
Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 1,397	2,589	49,758	31,734	3,338	138	—	\$ 88,954
Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	152,563	33,024	618,825	377,568	245,407	198,453	—	1,625,840
Total	<u>\$ 153,960</u>	<u>35,613</u>	<u>668,583</u>	<u>409,302</u>	<u>248,745</u>	<u>198,591</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>\$ 1,714,794</u>
December 31, 2010 <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Commercial, Financial, Agricultural	Real Estate Construction	Real Estate Commercial Mortgage	Real Estate Residential	Real Estate Home Equity	Consumer	Unallocated	Total
Allowance Allocated to:								
Loans Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 252	413	\$ 4,640	7,965	\$ 1,389	\$ 71	—	\$ 14,730
Loans Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	1,292	1,647	4,005	9,081	1,133	2,541	1,007	20,706
Total	<u>\$ 1,544</u>	<u>2,060</u>	<u>8,645</u>	<u>17,046</u>	<u>2,522</u>	<u>2,612</u>	<u>1,007</u>	<u>\$ 35,436</u>
Total Loans:								
Individually Evaluated for Impairment	\$ 1,685	2,533	\$ 42,369	37,779	\$ 3,278	\$ 144	—	\$ 87,788
Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	155,709	40,706	629,333	392,762	248,287	204,086	—	1,670,883
Total	<u>\$ 157,394</u>	<u>43,239</u>	<u>671,702</u>	<u>430,541</u>	<u>251,565</u>	<u>204,230</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>\$ 1,758,671</u>

Impaired Loans. Loans are deemed to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will not be able to collect all amounts due (principal and interest payments), according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans, for which the terms have been modified, and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, are considered troubled debt restructurings and classified as impaired. Interest income recognized on impaired loans was approximately \$0.7 million for the three month periods ended March 31, 2011 and March 31, 2010, respectively.

The following table presents loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Unpaid Principal Balance	Recorded Investment With No Allowance	Recorded Investment With Allowance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment
March 31, 2011:					
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 1,397	\$ 454	\$ 943	\$ 174	\$ 1,540
Real Estate - Construction	2,589	534	2,055	481	2,561
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	49,758	9,746	40,012	5,508	46,064
Real Estate - Residential	31,734	4,175	27,558	7,165	34,757
Real Estate - Home Equity	3,338	746	2,593	1,212	3,308
Consumer	138	—	138	58	141
Total	\$ 88,954	\$ 15,655	\$ 73,299	\$ 14,598	\$ 88,371
December 31, 2010:					
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 1,684	\$ 389	\$ 1,295	\$ 252	\$ 2,768
Real Estate - Construction	2,533	—	2,533	413	5,801
Real Estate - Commercial Mortgage	42,370	9,030	33,340	4,640	48,820
Real Estate - Residential	37,780	3,295	34,485	7,965	41,958
Real Estate - Home Equity	3,278	375	2,903	1,389	3,087
Consumer	143	—	143	71	172
Total	\$ 87,788	\$ 13,089	\$ 74,699	\$ 14,730	\$ 102,606

NOTE 5 - INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The Company had net intangible assets of \$85.8 million and \$86.2 million at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. Intangible assets were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Gross Amount	Accumulated Amortization
Core Deposit Intangibles	\$ 47,176	\$ 46,739	\$ 47,176	\$ 46,434
Goodwill	84,811	—	84,811	—
Customer Relationship Intangible	1,867	1,309	1,867	1,261
Total Intangible Assets	\$ 133,854	\$ 48,048	\$ 133,854	\$ 47,695

Net Core Deposit Intangibles: As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had net core deposit intangibles of \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. Amortization expense for the first three months of 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. Estimated annual amortization expense for 2011 is \$0.5 million. All of our core deposit intangibles will be fully amortized in January 2013.

Goodwill: As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had goodwill, net of accumulated amortization, of \$84.8 million.

In December 2010, the Company performed its annual goodwill impairment test and concluded that goodwill was not impaired at December 31, 2010. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company assessed the indicators of impairment and noted that there had been no significant changes since the year-end 2010 evaluation. Thus, the Company concluded that goodwill was not impaired as of March 31, 2011. The Company will continue to monitor and test goodwill as appropriate.

Other: As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company had a customer relationship intangible asset, net of accumulated amortization, of \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. This intangible asset was recorded as a result of the March 2004 acquisition of trust customer relationships. Amortization expense for the first three months of 2011 and 2010 was approximately \$48,000. Estimated annual amortization expense is approximately \$191,000 based on use of a 10-year useful life.

NOTE 6 - DEPOSITS

The composition of the Company's interest bearing deposits at March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
NOW Accounts	\$ 818,512	\$ 770,149
Money Market Accounts	288,224	275,416
Savings Deposits	150,051	139,888
Other Time Deposits	350,076	372,266
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	\$ 1,606,863	\$ 1,557,719

NOTE 7 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company recognizes the cost of stock-based associate stock compensation in accordance with ASC-718-20-05-1 and ASC 718-50-05-01, (formerly SFAS No. 123R), "Share-Based Payment" (Revised) under the fair value method.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company had three stock-based compensation plans, consisting of the 2005 Associate Stock Incentive Plan ("ASIP"), the 2005 Associate Stock Purchase Plan ("ASPP"), and the 2005 Director Stock Purchase Plan ("DSPP"). Total compensation expense associated with these plans for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$245,000 and \$390,000, respectively.

ASIP. The Company's ASIP allows the Company's Board of Directors to award key associates various forms of equity-based incentive compensation. Under the ASIP, all participants in this plan are eligible to earn an equity award, in the form of performance shares. The Company, under the terms and conditions of the ASIP, created the 2011 Incentive Plan ("2011 Plan"), which has an annual award tied to an internally established earnings goal. The grant-date fair value of the shares eligible to be awarded in 2011 is approximately \$895,000. A total of 51,952 shares are eligible for issuance. For the first three months of 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$215,000 in expense related to the ASIP.

A total of 875,000 shares of common stock have been reserved for issuance under the ASIP. To date, the Company has issued a total of 67,022 shares of common stock under the ASIP.

Executive Stock Option Agreement. Prior to 2007, the Company maintained a stock option arrangement for a key executive officer (William G. Smith, Jr. - Chairman, President and CEO, CCBG). The status of the options granted under this arrangement is detailed in the table provided below. In 2007, the Company replaced its practice of entering into a stock option arrangement by establishing a Performance Share Unit Plan under the provisions of the ASIP that allows the executive to earn shares based on the compound annual growth rate in diluted earnings per share over a three-year period. The details of this program for the executive are outlined in a Form 8-K filing dated January 31, 2007. No expense related to this plan was recognized for the first three months of 2011 and 2010 as the results did not meet the earnings performance goal.

A summary of the status of the Company's option shares as of March 31, 2011 is presented below:

Options	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2011	60,384	\$ 32.79	3.9	\$ —
Granted	—	—	—	—
Exercised	—	—	—	—
Forfeited or expired	—	—	—	—
Outstanding at March 31, 2011	60,384	\$ 32.79	3.6	\$ —
Exercisable at March 31, 2011	60,384	\$ 32.79	3.6	\$ —

Compensation expense associated with the aforementioned option shares was fully recognized as of December 31, 2007.

DSPP. The Company's DSPP allows the directors to purchase the Company's common stock at a price equal to 90% of the closing price on the date of purchase. Stock purchases under the DSPP are limited to the amount of the director's annual cash compensation. The DSPP has 93,750 shares reserved for issuance. A total of 93,532 shares have been issued since the inception of the DSPP. For the first three months 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$9,000 in expense related to this plan. For the first three months of 2010, the Company recognized approximately \$8,500 in expense related to the DSPP.

ASPP. Under the Company's ASPP, substantially all associates may purchase the Company's common stock through payroll deductions at a price equal to 90% of the lower of the fair market value at the beginning or end of each six-month offering period. Stock purchases under the ASPP are limited to 10% of an associate's eligible compensation, up to a maximum of \$25,000 (fair market value on each enrollment date) in any plan year. Shares are issued at the beginning of the quarter following each six-month offering period. The ASPP has 593,750 shares of common stock reserved for issuance. A total of 171,467 shares have been issued since inception of the ASPP. For the first three months of 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$21,000 in expense related to the ASPP plan compared to approximately \$24,000 in expense for the same period in 2010.

NOTE 8 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan covering substantially all full-time and eligible part-time associates and a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP") covering its executive officers.

The components of the net periodic benefit costs for the Company's qualified benefit pension plan were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Discount Rate	5.55 %	5.75 %
Long-Term Rate of Return on Assets	8.00 %	8.00 %
Service Cost	\$ 1,550	\$ 1,525
Interest Cost	1,325	1,175
Expected Return on Plan Assets	(1,650)	(1,525)
Prior Service Cost Amortization	125	125
Net Loss Amortization	550	525
Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$ 1,900	\$ 1,825

The components of the net periodic benefit costs for the Company's SERP were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2011	2010
Discount Rate	5.55 %	5.75 %
Service Cost	\$ —	\$ —
Interest Cost	40	42
Prior Service Cost Amortization	45	45
Net Gain Amortization	(98)	(85)
Net Periodic Benefit Cost	\$ (13)	\$ 2

NOTE 9 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Lending Commitments. The Company is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risks in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its clients. These financial instruments consist of commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit.

The Company's maximum exposure to credit loss under standby letters of credit and commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in establishing commitments and issuing letters of credit as it does for on-balance sheet instruments. As of March 31, 2011, the amounts associated with the Company's off-balance sheet obligations were as follows:

<i>(Dollars in Millions)</i>	Amount	
Commitments to Extend Credit ⁽¹⁾	\$	313
Standby Letters of Credit	\$	12

(1) *Commitments include unfunded loans, revolving lines of credit, and other unused commitments.*

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to clients so long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Contingencies. The Company is a party to lawsuits and claims arising out of the normal course of business. In management's opinion, there are no known pending claims or litigation, the outcome of which would, individually or in the aggregate, have a material effect on the consolidated results of operations, financial position, or cash flows of the Company.

Indemnification Obligation. The Company is a member of the Visa U.S.A. network. Visa U.S.A. believes that its member banks are required to indemnify it for potential future settlement of certain litigation (the "Covered Litigation"). In 2008, the Company, as a member of the Visa U.S.A. network, obtained Class B shares of Visa, Inc. upon its initial public offering. Since its initial public offering, Visa, Inc. has funded a litigation reserve for the Covered Litigation resulting in a reduction in the Class B shares held by the Company. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company sold its remaining Class B shares resulting in a \$3.2 million pre-tax gain. Associated with this sale, the Company entered into a swap contract with the purchaser of the shares that requires a payment to the counterparty in the event that Visa, Inc. makes subsequent revisions to the conversion ratio for its Class B shares. Further information on the swap contract is contained within Note 10 below.

NOTE 10 – FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The fair value of an asset or liability is the price that would be received to sell that asset or paid to transfer that liability in an orderly transaction occurring in the principal market (or most advantageous market in the absence of a principal market) for such asset or liability. In estimating fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that are consistent with the market approach, the income approach and/or the cost approach. Such valuation techniques are consistently applied. Inputs to valuation techniques include the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. ASC Topic 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1 Inputs - Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date

Level 2 Inputs - Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (such as interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks, etc.) or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 Inputs - Unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

In general, fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If such quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon models that primarily use, as inputs, observable market-based parameters. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments may include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality, the Company's creditworthiness, among other things, as well as unobservable parameters. Any such valuation adjustments are applied consistently over time. The Company's valuation methodologies may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of

net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. While management believes the Company's valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the reporting date. A more detailed description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value is set forth in the Company's 2010 Form 10-K.

Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. The following table summarizes financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 31, 2011 segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value:

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Level 1 Inputs	Level 2 Inputs	Level 3 Inputs	Total Fair Value
March 31, 2011				
ASSETS:				
Securities available for sale:				
US Treasury	\$ 175,898	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 175,898
States and Political Subdivisions	1,391	67,749	—	69,140
Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities	—	54,254	—	54,254
Other Securities	—	12,064	—	12,064
LIABILITIES:				
Fair Value Swap	—	—	600	600

Fair Value Swap. During the first quarter of 2011, the Company entered into a stand-alone derivative contract with the purchaser of its Visa Class B shares. The valuation represents an internally developed estimate of the exposure based upon probability-weighted potential Visa litigation losses and related carrying cost obligations required under the contract.

Non-Financial Assets and Non-Financial Liabilities. Certain non-financial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are detailed below; that is, the instruments are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (for example, when there is evidence of impairment).

Impaired Loans. On a non-recurring basis, certain impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral if repayment is expected solely from the liquidation of collateral. Collateral values are estimated using Level 2 inputs based on customized discounting criteria. Impaired loans had a carrying value of \$89.0 million, with a valuation allowance of \$14.6 million.

Loans Held for Sale. Loans held for sale were \$2.7 million as of March 31, 2011. These loans are carried at the lower of cost or fair value and are adjusted to fair value on a non-recurring basis. Fair value is based on observable markets rates for comparable loan products which is considered a Level 2 fair value measurement.

Other Real Estate Owned. During the first three months of 2011, certain foreclosed assets, upon initial recognition, were measured and reported at fair value through a charge-off to the allowance for possible loan losses based on the fair value of the foreclosed asset. The fair value of the foreclosed asset, upon initial recognition, is estimated using Level 2 inputs based on observable market data. Foreclosed assets measured at fair value upon initial recognition totaled \$9.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2011. In addition, the Company recognized subsequent losses totaling \$1.2 million for foreclosed assets that were re-valued during the three months ended March 31, 2011. The carrying value of foreclosed assets was \$55.4 million at March 31, 2011.

FASB ASC Topic 825 requires disclosure of the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities, including those financial assets and financial liabilities that are not measured and reported at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis.

A detailed description of the valuation methodologies used in estimating the fair value of financial instruments is set forth in the Company's 2010 Form 10-K.

The Company's financial instruments that have estimated fair values are presented below:

	March 31, 2011		December 31, 2010	
	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>				
Financial Assets:				
Cash	\$ 52,000	\$ 52,000	\$ 35,410	\$ 35,410
Short-Term Investments	271,375	271,375	200,783	200,783
Investment Securities	311,356	311,356	309,731	309,731
Loans, Net of Allowance for Loan Losses	1,680,921	1,632,705	1,723,235	1,675,997
Total Financial Assets	\$ 2,315,652	\$ 2,267,436	\$ 2,269,159	\$ 2,221,921
Financial Liabilities:				
Deposits	\$ 2,147,047	\$ 2,148,335	\$ 2,103,976	\$ 2,105,568
Short-Term Borrowings	86,650	82,740	92,928	89,287
Subordinated Notes Payable	62,887	62,888	62,887	62,884
Long-Term Borrowings	50,050	52,477	50,101	52,302
Derivative Instrument – Fair Value Swap	600	600	—	—
Total Financial Liabilities	\$ 2,347,234	\$ 2,347,040	\$ 2,309,892	\$ 2,310,041

All non-financial instruments are excluded from the above table. The disclosures also do not include certain intangible assets such as client relationships, deposit base intangibles and goodwill. Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented do not represent the underlying value of the Company.

NOTE 11 – NEW AUTHORITATIVE ACCOUNTING GUIDANCE

ASU No. 2010-20, "Receivables (Topic 310) - Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses." ASU 2010-20 requires entities to provide disclosures designed to facilitate financial statement users' evaluation of (i) the nature of credit risk inherent in the entity's portfolio of financing receivables, (ii) how that risk is analyzed and assessed in arriving at the allowance for credit losses and (iii) the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. Disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment, the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses, and class of financing receivable, which is generally a disaggregation of portfolio segment. The required disclosures include, among other things, a roll-forward of the allowance for credit losses as well as information about modified, impaired, non-accrual and past due loans and credit quality indicators. ASU 2010-20 became effective for the Company's financial statements as of December 31, 2010, as it relates to disclosures required as of the end of a reporting period. Disclosures that relate to activity during a reporting period became effective for the Company's financial statements beginning on January 1, 2011. ASU 2011-01, "Receivables (Topic 310) - Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20," temporarily deferred the effective date for disclosures related to troubled debt restructurings to coincide with the effective date of the then proposed ASU 2011-02, "Receivables (Topic 310) - A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring," which is further discussed below.

ASU No. 2010-28, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) - When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts." ASU 2010-28 modifies Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist such as if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. ASU 2010-28 became effective for the Company on January 1, 2011 and did not have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASU No. 2011-02, "Receivables (Topic 310) - A Creditor's Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring." ASU 2011-02 clarifies which loan modifications constitute troubled debt restructurings and is intended to assist creditors in determining whether a modification of the terms of a receivable meets the criteria to be considered a troubled debt restructuring, both for purposes of recording an impairment loss and for disclosure of troubled debt restructurings. In evaluating whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring, a creditor must separately conclude, under the guidance clarified by ASU 2011-02, that both of the following exist: (a) the restructuring constitutes a concession; and (b) the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. ASU 2011-02 will be effective for the Company on July 1, 2011, and applies retrospectively to restructurings occurring on or after January 1, 2011. Adoption of ASU 2011-02 is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (UNAUDITED)

	2011				2010				2009			
	First ⁽¹⁾	Fourth	Third	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second	First	Fourth	Third	Second
<i>(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)</i>												
Summary of Operations:												
Interest Income	\$ 25,189	\$ 26,831	\$ 27,576	\$ 27,934	\$ 28,154	\$ 29,756	\$ 30,787	\$ 31,180				
Interest Expense	2,203	2,473	2,792	3,565	4,132	4,464	4,235	4,085				
Net Interest Income	22,986	24,358	24,784	24,369	24,022	25,292	26,552	27,095				
Provision for Loan Losses	4,133	3,783	5,668	3,633	10,740	10,834	12,347	8,426				
Net Interest Income After Provision for Loan Losses	18,853	20,575	19,116	20,736	13,282	14,458	14,205	18,669				
Noninterest Income	16,334	14,735	13,449	14,674	13,967	14,411	14,304	14,634				
Noninterest Expense	33,331	33,540	32,363	34,629	33,384	35,313	31,615	32,930				
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	1,856	1,770	202	781	(6,135)	(6,444)	(3,106)	373				
Income Tax (Benefit) Expense	546	(148)	(199)	50	(2,672)	(3,037)	(1,618)	(401)				
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 1,310	\$ 1,918	\$ 401	\$ 731	\$ (3,463)	\$ (3,407)	\$ (1,488)	\$ 774				
Net Interest Income (FTE)	\$ 23,257	\$ 24,654	\$ 25,116	\$ 24,738	\$ 24,473	\$ 25,845	\$ 27,128	\$ 27,679				
Per Common Share:												
Net Income (Loss) Basic	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.08)	\$ 0.04				
Net Income (Loss) Diluted	0.08	0.12	0.02	0.04	(0.20)	(0.20)	(0.08)	0.04				
Dividends Declared	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.19	0.19	0.19	0.19				
Diluted Book Value	15.13	15.15	15.25	15.32	15.34	15.72	15.76	16.03				
Market Price:												
High	13.80	14.19	14.24	18.25	14.61	14.34	17.10	17.35				
Low	11.87	11.56	10.76	12.36	11.57	11.00	13.92	11.01				
Close	12.68	12.60	12.14	12.38	14.25	13.84	14.20	16.85				
Selected Average Balances :												
Loans, Net	\$ 1,730,330	\$ 1,782,916	\$ 1,807,483	\$ 1,841,379	\$ 1,886,367	\$ 1,944,873	\$ 1,964,984	\$ 1,974,197				
Earning Assets	2,278,602	2,218,049	2,273,198	2,329,365	2,358,288	2,237,561	2,157,362	2,175,281				
Total Assets	2,643,017	2,576,793	2,626,758	2,678,488	2,698,419	2,575,250	2,497,969	2,506,352				
Deposits	2,125,379	2,115,867	2,172,165	2,234,178	2,248,760	2,090,008	1,950,170	1,971,190				
Shareowners' Equity	261,603	262,622	263,742	263,873	268,555	268,556	275,027	277,114				
Common Equivalent Average Shares:												
Basic	17,122	17,095	17,087	17,063	17,057	17,034	17,024	17,010				
Diluted	17,130	17,096	17,088	17,074	17,070	17,035	17,025	17,010				
Performance Ratios:												
Return on Average Assets	0.20%	0.30%	0.06%	0.11%	(0.52)%	(0.52)%	(0.24)%	0.12%				
Return on Average Equity	2.03	2.90	0.60	1.11	(5.23)	(5.03)	(2.15)	1.12				
Net Interest Margin (FTE)	4.14	4.41	4.38	4.26	4.21	4.59	4.99	5.11				
Noninterest Income as % of Operating Revenue	41.54	37.69	35.17	37.58	36.77	36.30	35.01	35.07				
Efficiency Ratio	83.30	83.75	82.08	86.06	85.00	85.21	73.86	75.44				
Asset Quality:												
Allowance for Loan Losses	33,873	35,436	37,720	38,442	41,199	43,999	45,401	41,782				
Allowance for Loan Losses to Loans	1.98%	2.01%	2.10%	2.11%	2.23%	2.30%	2.32%	2.12%				
Nonperforming Assets	153,346	145,286	145,643	149,814	153,669	144,052	144,372	143,626				
Nonperforming Assets to Loans + ORE	8.66	8.00	7.86	8.01	8.10	7.38	7.25	7.19				
Nonperforming Assets to Total Assets	5.76	5.54	5.65	5.65	5.66	5.32	5.79	5.69				
Allowance to Non-Performing Loans	34.57	40.57	39.94	37.80	38.42	40.77	40.90	33.71				
Net Charge-Offs to Average Loans	1.33	1.35	1.40	1.39	2.91	2.42	1.76	1.39				
Capital Ratios:												
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	13.46%	13.24%	12.93%	12.78%	12.81%	12.76%	12.76%	12.85%				
Total Capital Ratio	14.82	14.59	14.29	14.14	14.16	14.11	14.12	14.20				
Tangible Capital Ratio	6.73	6.82	6.98	6.80	6.62	6.84	7.43	7.47				
Leverage Ratio	9.74	10.10	9.75	9.58	9.64	10.39	10.96	11.07				

(1) Includes a \$2.6 million (net) pre-tax gain from sale of Visa shares – \$3.2 million gain less \$0.6 million related swap liability.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Management's discussion and analysis ("MD&A") provides supplemental information, which sets forth the major factors that have affected our financial condition and results of operations and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes. The MD&A is divided into subsections entitled "Business Overview," "Financial Overview," "Results of Operations," "Financial Condition," "Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity," "Liquidity and Capital Resources," "Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements," and "Critical Accounting Policies." The following information should provide a better understanding of the major factors and trends that affect our earnings performance and financial condition, and how our performance during 2011 compares with the prior year. Throughout this section, Capital City Bank Group, Inc., and subsidiaries, collectively, are referred to as "CCBG," "Company," "we," "us," or "our."

In this MD&A, we present an operating efficiency ratio which is not calculated based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"), but that we believe provides important information regarding our results of operations. Our calculation of the operating efficiency ratio is computed by dividing noninterest expense less intangible amortization, by the sum of tax equivalent net interest income and noninterest income. Management uses this non-GAAP measure as part of its assessment of its performance in managing noninterest expenses. We believe that excluding intangible amortization and merger expenses in our calculations better reflect our periodic expenses and is more reflective of normalized operations.

Although we believe the above-mentioned non-GAAP financial measure enhances investors' understanding of our business and performance this non-GAAP financial measure should not be considered an alternative to GAAP. In addition, there are material limitations associated with the use of this non-GAAP financial measure such as the risks that readers of our financial statements may disagree as to the appropriateness of items included or excluded in this measure and that our measure may not be directly comparable to other companies that calculate this measure differently. Our management compensates for this limitation by providing a detailed reconciliation between GAAP information and the non-GAAP financial measure as detailed below.

Reconciliation of operating efficiency ratio to efficiency ratio:

	Three Months Ended		
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
Efficiency ratio	84.19%	85.16	86.85%
Effect of intangible amortization expense	(0.89)%	(1.41)%	(1.85)%
Operating efficiency ratio	83.30%	83.75%	85.00%

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

CAUTION CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including this MD&A section, contains “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These forward-looking statements include, among others, statements about our beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, estimates and intentions that are subject to significant risks and uncertainties and are subject to change based on various factors, many of which are beyond our control. The words “may,” “could,” “should,” “would,” “believe,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “plan,” “target,” “goal,” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements, by their nature, are subject to risks and uncertainties. Our actual future results may differ materially from those set forth in our forward-looking statements. Please see the Introductory Note and Item *1A. Risk Factors* of our 2010 Report on Form 10-K, as updated in our subsequent quarterly reports filed on Form 10-Q, and in our other filings made from time to time with the SEC after the date of this report.

However, other factors besides those listed in our Quarterly Report or in our Annual Report also could adversely affect our results, and you should not consider any such list of factors to be a complete set of all potential risks or uncertainties. Any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf speak only as of the date they are made. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement, except as required by applicable law.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

Our Business

We are a bank holding company headquartered in Tallahassee, Florida, and we are the parent of our wholly-owned subsidiary, Capital City Bank (the “Bank” or “CCB”). The Bank offers a broad array of products and services through a total of 70 full-service offices located in Florida, Georgia, and Alabama. The Bank offers commercial and retail banking services, as well as trust and asset management, retail securities brokerage and data processing services.

Our profitability, like most financial institutions, is dependent to a large extent upon net interest income, which is the difference between the interest received on earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities, principally deposits and borrowings. Results of operations are also affected by the provision for loan losses, operating expenses such as salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and other operating expenses including income taxes, and noninterest income such as service charges on deposit accounts, asset management and trust fees, retail securities brokerage fees, mortgage banking fees, bank card fees, and data processing fees.

Much of our lending operations are within the State of Florida, which has been particularly hard hit in the current economic recession. Evidence of the economic downturn in Florida is particularly reflected in current unemployment statistics and realization of real estate property devaluation. According to the U.S. Department of Labor, the Florida unemployment rate (seasonally adjusted) at December 2010 increased to 12.0% from 11.8% at the end of 2009 and 7.6% at the end of 2008. While our Florida markets have generally realized an unemployment rate below the Florida rate, they have been adversely impacted as evidenced by layoffs and business closings, as well as wealth reduction due to depressed markets. Real estate property valuations have also been depressed during the economic downturn as evidenced by our higher level of problem assets and credit related costs. An extended continuation of the recession in Florida would likely exacerbate the adverse effects of these difficult market conditions on our clients, which may have a negative impact on our financial results.

FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

A summary overview of our financial performance for the first quarter of 2011 versus the fourth quarter of 2010 and the first quarter of 2010 is provided below.

Summary of Financial Performance –

- Net income of \$1.3 million, or \$0.08 per diluted share for the first quarter of 2011 compared to a net income of \$1.9 million, or \$0.12 per diluted share in the fourth quarter of 2010, and a net loss of \$3.5 million, or \$0.20 per diluted share for the first quarter of 2010. Earnings for the first quarter of 2011 include a \$1.6 million after-tax gain from the sale of our Visa shares.
- Total credit costs (loan loss provision plus other real estate owned (“OREO”) costs) were \$7.8 million (\$0.28 per share), \$8.5 million (\$0.31 per share), and \$13.6 million (\$0.49 per share) for the quarters ended March 31, 2011, December 31, 2010, and March 31, 2010, respectively.
- Tax equivalent net interest income for the first quarter of 2011 decreased \$1.4 million, or 5.7% from the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$1.2 million, or 5.0%, from the first quarter of 2010. For both periods, the decline was due to a reduction in loan income attributable to declining loan balances and continued unfavorable asset repricing. An unfavorable two day calendar variance also contributed to the reduction from the fourth quarter of 2010.
- Noninterest income increased \$1.6 million, or 10.9% over the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$2.4 million, or 16.9%, over the first quarter of 2010. The higher noninterest income for the first quarter of 2011 was due to a \$3.2 million pre-tax gain from the sale of Visa stock. Lower deposit fees and mortgage banking fees partially offset the Visa stock gain when compared to the fourth quarter of 2010. Compared to the first quarter of 2010, lower deposit fees and merchant fees partially offset the Visa stock gain.
- Noninterest expense decreased \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million from the fourth quarter of 2010 and first quarter of 2010, respectively. The decline compared to the fourth quarter of 2010 was primarily due to a reduction in other expense of \$1.3 million, partially offset by higher compensation expense of \$1.2 million. Compared to the first quarter of 2010, the decrease in noninterest expense was attributable to lower compensation expense of \$0.2 million partially offset by higher other expense of \$0.1 million.
- Average earning assets totaled \$2.279 billion for the first quarter of 2011, a \$60.6 million, or 2.7% increase over the fourth quarter of 2010, and a decrease of \$79.7 million, or 3.4% from the first quarter of 2010. The increase over the fourth quarter of 2010 was driven by increases in both deposits and short-term borrowings, while the decline from the first quarter of 2010 was due to lower deposit balances, primarily CD balances.
- Average loans decreased \$52.6 million, or 3.0%, from the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$156.0 million, or 8.3%, from the first quarter of 2010 due to weak loan demand attributable to the lack of consumer confidence and a sluggish economy. Normal amortization and a higher level of payoffs as well as the resolution of problem loans also affected the balance of loans.
- Average deposit balances were \$2.125 billion for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of \$9.5 million, or 0.5% over the fourth quarter of 2010, and a decrease of \$123.4 million, or 5.5% from the first quarter of 2010. Growth in public funds drove the growth over the fourth quarter of 2010. A reduction in CD balances was the primary reason for the decline versus the first quarter of 2010.
- Nonperforming assets totaled \$153.3 million at March 31, 2011, an increase of \$8.1 million over December 31, 2010 and a reduction of \$0.3 million over March 31, 2010. Nonperforming assets represented 5.76% of total assets at March 31, 2011 compared to 5.54% at December 31, 2010 and 5.66% at March 31, 2010. Compared to the fourth quarter of 2010, a higher level of nonaccrual loans, primarily the default of one classified loan for \$6.0 million, drove the increase in nonperforming assets.
- As of March 31, 2011, we are well-capitalized with a risk based capital ratio of 14.82% and a tangible capital ratio of 6.73% compared to 14.59% and 6.82%, respectively, at December 31, 2010 and 14.16% and 6.62%, respectively, at March 31, 2010.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Net Income

For the first quarter of 2011, we realized net income of \$1.3 million, or \$0.08 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$1.9 million, or \$0.12 per diluted share in the fourth quarter of 2010 ("linked quarter") and a net loss of \$3.5 million, or \$0.20 per diluted share for the first quarter of 2010.

Compared to the fourth quarter of 2010, net income reflects lower net interest income of \$1.4 million, an increase in the loan loss provision of \$0.3 million, and higher income tax expense of \$0.7 million, partially offset by higher noninterest income of \$1.6 million, and lower noninterest expense of \$0.2 million. During the first quarter of 2011, we sold all of our Visa Class B shares of stock, which resulted in a \$3.2 million pre-tax gain reflected in noninterest income and a swap liability of \$0.6 million reflected in noninterest expense.

The increase in net income over the first quarter of 2010 was driven by a lower loan loss provision of \$6.6 million and higher noninterest income of \$2.4 million, partially offset by a reduction in net interest income of \$1.0 million and higher income tax expense of \$3.2 million.

A condensed earnings summary of each major component of our financial performance is provided below:

	Three Months Ended		
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
<i>(Dollars in Thousands, except per share data)</i>			
Interest Income	\$ 25,189	\$ 26,831	\$ 28,154
Taxable equivalent Adjustments	271	296	451
Total Interest Income (FTE)	25,460	27,127	28,605
Interest Expense	2,203	2,473	4,132
Net Interest Income (FTE)	23,257	24,654	24,473
Provision for Loan Losses	4,133	3,783	10,740
Taxable Equivalent Adjustments	271	296	451
Net Interest Income After provision for Loan Losses	18,853	20,575	13,282
Noninterest Income	16,334	14,735	13,967
Noninterest Expense	33,331	33,540	33,384
Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes	1,856	1,770	(6,135)
Income Tax Expense (Benefit)	546	(148)	(2,672)
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 1,310	\$ 1,918	\$ (3,463)
Basic Net Income (Loss) Per Share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.20)
Diluted Net Income (Loss) Per Share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.12	\$ (0.20)
Return on Average Equity	0.20%	0.30%	(0.52)%
Return on Average Assets	2.03%	2.90%	(5.23)%

Net Interest Income

Net interest income represents our single largest source of earnings and is equal to interest income and fees generated by earning assets, less interest expense paid on interest bearing liabilities. This information is provided on a "taxable equivalent" basis to reflect the tax-exempt status of income earned on certain loans and investments, the majority of which are state and local government debt obligations. We provide an analysis of our net interest income including average yields and rates in Table I on page 35.

Tax equivalent net interest income for the first quarter of 2011 was \$23.3 million compared to \$24.7 million for the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$24.5 million for the first quarter of 2010.

The decrease of \$1.4 million in tax equivalent net interest income as compared to the fourth quarter of 2010 was due to two less calendar days; a reduction in loan income attributable to declining loan balances and continued unfavorable asset repricing. This was partially offset by lower interest expense and a slight decrease in foregone interest on nonaccrual loans. With the exception of calendar days, the explanation for the \$1.2 million decline from the prior year quarter essentially mirrors the explanation for the linked quarter.

Tax equivalent interest income for the first quarter of 2011 was \$25.5 million compared to \$27.1 million for the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$28.6 million for the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in interest income when compared to both periods reflects unfavorable asset repricing and declining loan balances, which has been partially offset by a lower foregone interest on nonaccrual loans.

Interest expense for the first quarter of 2011 was \$2.2 million compared to \$2.5 million for the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$4.1 million for the first quarter of 2010. Lower interest expense for both comparable periods reflects a reduction in deposit rates primarily in certificates of deposit.

The net interest margin in the first quarter of 2011 was 4.14%, a decrease of 27 basis points from the fourth quarter of 2010 and a decline of 7 basis points from the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in the margin when compared to both periods reflects a reduction in the earning asset yield attributable to a shift in the mix of earning assets and continued unfavorable repricing. Partially offsetting the unfavorable variances was a reduction in the cost of funds, primarily the average rate paid on certificates of deposit.

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses for the first quarter of 2011 was \$4.1 million compared to \$3.8 million in the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$10.7 million for the first quarter of 2010. The increase in the loan loss provision compared to the linked quarter primarily reflects reserves for newly identified impaired loans. A reduction in general reserves had a favorable impact on the loan loss provision and reflects a decline in our classified loan balance, partially due to loan upgrades during the quarter, a lower historical loss rate and a reduction in past dues. Compared to the first quarter of 2010, a reduction in impaired loans was the primary factor driving the decline in the loan loss provision. Net charge-offs for the first quarter of 2011 totaled \$5.7 million, or 1.33%, of average loans compared to \$6.1 million, or 1.35% for the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$13.5 million, or 2.91% in the first quarter of 2010. At quarter-end, the allowance for loan losses of \$33.9 million was 1.98% of outstanding loans (net of overdrafts) and provided coverage of 35% of nonperforming loans compared to 2.01% and 41%, respectively, at December 31, 2010, and 2.23% and 38%, respectively, at March 31, 2010.

Charge-off activity for the respective periods is set forth below:

	Three Months Ended		
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
<i>(Dollars in Thousands, except per share data)</i>			
CHARGE-OFFS			
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	\$ 721	\$ 629	\$ 842
Real Estate – Construction	—	234	3,722
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	430	1,469	4,631
Real Estate – Residential	4,445	3,629	3,727
Consumer	620	582	1,507
Total Charge-offs	6,216	6,543	14,429
RECOVERIES			
Commercial, Financial and Agricultural	63	48	77
Real Estate – Construction	9	—	—
Real Estate – Commercial Mortgage	12	55	157
Real Estate – Residential	96	7	114
Consumer	340	366	545
Total Recoveries	520	476	893
Net Charge-offs	\$ 5,696	\$ 6,067	\$ 13,536
Net Charge- offs (Annualized) as a percent of Average Loans Outstanding, Net of Unearned Interest	1.33 %	1.35 %	2.91 %

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income for the first quarter of 2011 totaled \$16.3 million, an increase of \$1.6 million, or 10.9% over the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$2.4 million, or 16.9% over the first quarter of 2010. The increase for both periods was due to a \$3.2 million gain (reflected in other income) from the sale of all the Class B shares of Visa stock that were obtained from the initial public offering of Visa, Inc.

Compared to the fourth quarter of 2010, a lower level of deposit fees of \$0.5 million and mortgage banking fees of \$0.4 million partially offset the gain realized from the sale of Visa stock. Compared to the first quarter of 2010, noninterest income increased \$2.4 million reflecting the \$3.2 million gain on the sale of Visa shares, a \$0.3 million increase in bank card fees, and higher retail brokerage fees of \$0.2 million, partially offset by a \$0.6 million decline in deposit fees and a \$0.7 million decline in merchant fees, which is included in "Other" income in the table below.

Noninterest income represented 41.54% of operating revenues in the first quarter of 2011 compared to 37.69% in the fourth quarter of 2010 and 36.77% in the first quarter of 2010. The increase over both prior periods is due to the impact of the gain realized from the sale of Visa stock.

Going forward, our data processing fees will be reduced due to the loss of two client banks that were taken into receivership by the FDIC during the later part of 2010. The annualized impact on our noninterest income will approximate \$1.2 million. In addition, we expect our bank card fees to be negatively impacted once final rules are completed regarding the regulation of interchange fees by the Federal Reserve. We continue to monitor the proposed rulemaking regarding interchange fees, but cannot ascertain its impact on our bank card fees at this time. We discuss both of these matters in further detail below.

The table below reflects the major components of noninterest income.

	Three Months Ended		
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>			
Noninterest Income:			
Service Charges on Deposit Accounts	\$ 5,983	\$ 6,434	\$ 6,628
Data Processing Fees	974	880	900
Asset Management Fees	1,080	1,095	1,020
Retail Brokerage Fees	729	738	565
Investment Security Gains	—	—	5
Mortgage Banking Fees	617	1,027	508
Interchange Fees ⁽¹⁾	1,360	1,285	1,212
ATM/Debit Card Fees ⁽¹⁾	1,136	1,051	963
Gain on Sale of Visa Stock	3,172	—	—
Other	1,283	2,225	2,166
Total Noninterest Income	\$ 16,334	\$ 14,735	\$ 13,967

⁽¹⁾ Together referred to as "Bank Card Fees"

Significant components of noninterest income are discussed in more detail below.

Service Charges on Deposit Accounts. Deposit service charge fees declined \$451,000, or 7.0%, from the fourth quarter of 2010 and decreased \$645,000, or 9.7%, from the first quarter of 2010. The decline from the fourth quarter of 2010 reflects a two-day calendar variance in the number of processing days, and a seasonal variance in the use of our overdraft product. The decline in deposit fees compared to the first quarter of 2010 reflects a lower level of overdraft fees due to reduced activity reflective of current economic conditions, a higher level of consumer awareness that has both impacted consumer and business spending habits, as well as the implementation of new rules under Regulation E, which regulate our ability to post one-time debit card/ATM transactions for clients who have not opted in to our overdraft protection service.

Retail Brokerage Fees. Fees from the sale of retail investment and insurance products decreased \$9,000, or 1.2%, from the fourth quarter of 2010 and increased \$164,000, or 29.0%, over from first quarter of 2010. The variance for each period reflects lower/higher trading activity by clients, respectively. An increase in new business also contributed to the improvement over the first quarter of 2010.

Mortgage Banking Fees. Mortgage banking fees decreased \$410,000, or 39.9%, from the fourth quarter of 2010 due to lower loan production attributable to a slowdown in new home purchase activity in our Tallahassee market. Our loan pipeline declined during the first quarter of 2011 due to consumer uncertainty in our Tallahassee market related to potential state job cuts.

Asset Management Fees. Fees from asset management activities decreased \$15,000, or 1.4%, from the fourth quarter of 2010 and increased \$60,000, or 5.9%, over the first quarter of 2010. The variance for both periods reflects fluctuation in asset values for accounts under discretionary management for which fees are based on the account value at quarter-end. At March 31, 2011, assets under management totaled \$732.3 million compared to \$744.9 million at December 31, 2010 and \$712.8 million at March 31, 2010.

Bank Card Fees. Bank card fees (including interchange fees and ATM/debit card fees) increased \$160,000, or 6.8%, over the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$321,000, or 14.8%, over the first quarter of 2010. The increase for both periods reflects higher card utilization. An ATM fee increase in 2010 also contributed to the favorable variance versus the first quarter of 2010.

Other. Other income increased by \$2.2 million over the fourth quarter and \$2.3 million over the first quarter of 2010 attributable to the gain realized from the sale of our Class B shares of Visa stock obtained from the 2008 initial public offering of Visa, Inc. Compared to the fourth quarter of 2010, a reduction in gains from the sale of OREO properties of \$0.3 million and decline in miscellaneous recoveries \$0.4 million partially offset the gain from the sale of Visa stock. Compared to the first quarter of 2010, a \$0.7 million reduction in merchant fees partially offset the gain from the sale of Visa stock. The decline in merchant fees reflects the migration of our last remaining merchant to another processor – the decline in these revenues is substantially offset by a reduction in processing costs, which is reflected as interchange fees in noninterest expense.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense for the first quarter of 2011 totaled \$33.3 million, a decrease of \$0.2 million, or 0.6%, from the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$0.1 million, or 0.1%, from the first quarter of 2010. The decline compared to the fourth quarter of 2010 was primarily due to a reduction in other expense of \$1.3 million partially offset by higher compensation expense of \$1.2 million. Other expense declined due to lower OREO expense of \$1.0 million, advertising expense of \$0.4 million, professional fees of \$0.3 million, and intangible amortization expense of \$0.2 million. Partially offsetting these declines in other expense was an increase in miscellaneous expense of \$0.5 million. The unfavorable variance in miscellaneous expense primarily reflects the recognition of a \$0.6 million swap liability related to the sale of our Visa stock and the prior quarter reversal of our \$0.8 million Visa litigation reserve, partially offset by a decline in other losses of \$0.6 million. One-time expenses in the fourth quarter of 2010 contributed to the variance.

Compared to the first quarter of 2010, the \$0.1 million, or 0.1% decrease in noninterest expense was attributable to lower compensation expense of \$0.2 million partially offset by higher other expense of \$0.1 million. Other expense increased due to higher OREO expense of \$0.8 million, insurance-other of \$0.2 million, and miscellaneous expense of \$0.3 million. Partially offsetting these unfavorable variances was lower expense for professional fees of \$0.2 million, intangible amortization of \$0.4 million, and interchange fees of \$0.6 million. The unfavorable variance in miscellaneous expense primarily reflects the recognition of a \$0.6 million swap liability related to the sale of our Visa stock, partially offset by other one-time expenses in the first quarter of 2010 related to a debit card promotion.

The table below reflects the major components of noninterest expense.

<i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i>	Three Months Ended		
	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	March 31, 2010
Noninterest Expense:			
Salaries	\$ 12,936	\$ 12,223	\$ 13,049
Associate Benefits	3,641	3,166	3,730
Total Compensation	16,577	15,389	16,779
Premises	2,396	2,406	2,408
Equipment	2,226	2,268	2,181
Total Occupancy	4,622	4,674	4,589
Legal Fees	1,107	1,101	1,046
Professional Fees	845	1,142	1,048
Processing Services	950	881	887
Advertising	558	971	678
Travel and Entertainment	211	239	232
Printing and Supplies	348	346	404
Telephone	441	398	550
Postage	474	317	447
Insurance - Other	1,380	1,557	1,188
Intangible Amortization	353	553	710
Interchange Fees	1	3	554
Courier Service	120	105	116
Other Real Estate Owned	3,677	4,709	2,825
Miscellaneous	1,667	1,155	1,331
Total Other	12,132	13,477	12,016
Total Noninterest Expense	\$ 33,331	\$ 33,540	\$ 33,384

Significant components of noninterest expense are discussed in more detail below.

Compensation. Salaries and associate benefit expense increased \$1.2 million, or 7.7%, over the fourth quarter of 2010 due to higher incentive expense (cash and stock) of \$0.7 million, payroll/unemployment taxes of \$0.3 million, and pension expense of \$0.2 million. Incentive expense increased due to the resetting to par of several performance incentive programs - this expense is then subsequently adjusted throughout the year based on actual performance. The increase in pension expense reflects utilization of a lower discount rate in 2011 reflective of lower long-term bond rates. The increase in taxes also reflects the resetting of federal/state income taxes as well as higher unemployment taxes reflecting a recent rate increase. Compared to the first quarter of 2010, salaries and benefit expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 1.2%, due to lower employee salary expense of \$0.4 million partially offset by higher incentive expense of \$0.2 million. The reduction in employee salary expense reflects reduction in headcount. The increase in incentive expense is primarily due to a higher level of cash incentive pay-outs.

Occupancy. Occupancy expense (including premises and equipment) totaled \$4.6 million for the first quarter of 2011, a decrease of \$0.1 million, or 1.1%, from the fourth quarter of 2010 driven by a reduction in maintenance and repair expense primarily reflecting a lower level of maintenance activity on buildings. Compared to the first quarter of 2010, occupancy expense remained flat as a decrease in expense for building furnishings was offset by higher property tax expense, both related to the opening of our new trust building.

Other. Other noninterest expense decreased \$1.3 million, or 9.9%, from the fourth quarter of 2010 and increased \$0.1 million, or 0.1%, over the first quarter of 2010. The decrease compared to the fourth quarter of 2010 was due to lower OREO costs of \$1.0 million, advertising expense of \$0.4 million, professional fees of \$0.3 million, intangible amortization expense of \$0.2 million, and other losses of \$0.6 million. Unfavorable variances related to the recognition of a \$0.6 million swap liability related to the sale of our Visa stock and the prior quarter reversal of our \$0.8 million Visa litigation reserve partially offset the aforementioned reductions. Lower carrying costs of \$0.5 million and valuation adjustments/losses of \$0.5 million were the primary reason for the decline in OREO expense. A lower level of advertising and public relations activity drove the decline in advertising expense. Professional fees were lower primarily due to nonrecurring expenses in the fourth quarter of 2010 for consulting engagements. Intangible amortization expense declined due to the full amortization of core deposit intangibles related to several past acquisitions. Other losses declined due to a lower level of debit card losses.

Compared to the first quarter of 2010, the slight increase in other noninterest expense was attributable to higher OREO expense of \$0.8 million, insurance-other of \$0.2 million, and recognition of a \$0.6 million swap liability. These unfavorable variances were partially offset by lower intangible amortization expense of \$0.4 million, professional fees of \$0.2 million, advertising expense of \$0.1 million, telephone expense of \$0.1 million, and interchange fees of \$0.6 million. An increase in losses from the sale of OREO properties drove the increase in OREO expense. The increase in insurance-other primarily reflects a higher rate paid for FDIC insurance premium. Intangible amortization expense declined due to the full amortization of core deposit intangibles related to several past acquisitions. Professional fees were lower primarily due to one-time costs in the first quarter of 2010 for a consulting engagement as well as a reduction in certain ongoing consulting/professional engagements. A lower level of advertising and public relations activity drove the decline in advertising expense. The decline in telephone expense reflects recent review and renegotiation of our telecom provider contracts. The reduction in interchange fees reflects the migration of our last remaining merchant to another processor – the decline in these processing costs are more than offset by a reduction in merchant fees, which are reflected in noninterest income.

Our operating efficiency ratio (expressed as noninterest expense, excluding intangible amortization expense and merger expenses, as a percent of the sum of taxable-equivalent net interest income plus noninterest income) was 83.30% for the first quarter of 2011 compared to 83.75% for the fourth quarter of 2010 and 85.00% for the first quarter of 2010. The reduction over comparative quarters primary reflects the gain on sale of Visa shares.

Income Taxes

Income tax expense for the first quarter of 2011 totaled \$0.5 million, an increase of \$0.7 million over the fourth quarter of 2010, and \$3.2 million over the first quarter of 2010. A higher level of book operating profit at our bank subsidiary due to the gain on sale of Visa stock in the first quarter of 2011 drove the higher level of tax expense compared to prior periods. Reversal of a tax contingency during the fourth quarter of 2010 also contributed to the variance.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Average earning assets were \$2.279 billion for the first quarter of 2011, an increase of \$60.6 million, or 2.7% from the fourth quarter of 2010, and a decline of \$79.7 million, or 3.4%, from the first quarter of 2010. The higher level of earning assets over the linked quarter was funded by growth in both deposits and short-term borrowings. Quarter over quarter, average overnight funds grew by \$70.2 million, the investment portfolio grew \$43.0 million and loans declined \$52.6 million, partially attributable to the resolution of problem loans during the quarter.

Investment Securities

In the first quarter of 2011, our average investment portfolio increased \$43.0 million, or 16.4%, from the prior quarter and increased \$136.7 million, or 81.1%, from the first quarter of 2010. As a percentage of average earning assets, the investment portfolio represented 13.4% in the first quarter of 2011, compared to 11.8% in the prior quarter and 7.2% in the first quarter of 2010. The increase in the average balance of the investment portfolio compared to the linked quarter was primarily attributable to investments in US Treasury securities largely used for pledging purposes, partially offset by municipal bonds that matured and were not replaced due to limited availability of certain high-quality investments. The increase in the investment portfolio compared to the first quarter of 2010 was a result of an investment strategy which utilized a portion of the Bank's excess liquidity to attain a higher yield than overnight funds. If appropriate, we will continue to look to deploy a portion of the overnight funds position in the investment portfolio during the second quarter.

The investment portfolio is a significant component of our operations and, as such, it functions as a key element of liquidity and asset/liability management. As of March 31, 2011, all securities are classified as available-for-sale, which offers management full flexibility in managing our liquidity and interest rate sensitivity without adversely impacting our regulatory capital levels. It is neither management's intent nor practice to participate in the trading of investment securities for the purpose of recognizing gains and therefore we do not maintain a trading portfolio. Securities in the available-for-sale portfolio are recorded at fair value with unrealized gains and losses associated with these securities recorded net of tax, in the accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) component of shareowners' equity.

At March 31, 2011, the investment portfolio maintained a net pre-tax unrealized gain of \$1.1 million compared to \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million at December 31, 2010 and March 31, 2010, respectively. Although the bond market was volatile over the last quarter and year, the short nature and mix of investments resulted in minimal change in market value at quarter-end over prior periods. Approximately \$52.6 million of our investment securities have an unrealized loss totaling \$0.3 million. All positions have been in a loss position for less than 12 months. These positions consist of municipal bonds pre-refunded with US Government securities, GNMA mortgage-backed securities (MBS), which carry the full faith and credit of the US Government, and US Treasury securities. These positions are not considered impaired, and are expected to mature at par or better. Excluded from these figures is a \$0.6 million unrealized loss on a preferred stock investment held at the holding company that maintained a zero book value as of March 31, 2011

and December 31, 2010. No additional impairment was recorded during the first quarter of 2011, but we continue to closely monitor the fair value of this security as the issuer of this security continues to experience negative operating trends.

Loans

Average loans decreased \$52.6 million, or 3.0%, from the fourth quarter of 2010 and \$156.0 million, or 8.3%, from the comparable period in 2010. The loan portfolio continues to be impacted by weak loan demand attributable to the lack of consumer confidence and a sluggish economy. In addition to lower production, normal amortization and payoffs, the resolution of problem loans has the effect of lowering the loan portfolio as loans are either charged off or transferred to the other real estate owned category.

During the first quarter, problem loan resolutions accounted for \$15.3 million, or 35%, of a net reduction in total loans of \$43.9 million, and over the last twelve months, problem loan resolutions accounted for \$70.4 million, or 51%, of the net reduction of \$136.8 million. The problem loan resolutions and reductions in portfolio balances previously noted are based on "as of" balances, not averages.

Our bankers continue to try to reach clients who are interested in moving or expanding their banking relationships. While we strive to identify opportunities to increase loans outstanding and enhance the portfolio's overall contribution to earnings, we will only do so by adhering to sound lending principles applied in a prudent and consistent manner. Thus, we will not relax our underwriting standards in order to achieve designated growth goals and, where appropriate, have adjusted our standards to reflect risks inherent in the current economic environment.

Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets (including nonaccrual loans, restructured loans ("TDRs"), and other real estate owned ("OREO")) totaled \$153.3 million at the end of the first quarter of 2011, an increase of \$8.1 million over the fourth quarter of 2010 and a reduction of \$0.3 million from the first quarter of 2010. Compared to the fourth quarter of 2010, nonaccrual loans increased \$8.3 million due to a higher level of defaults within our problem loan pool, a significant portion of which was related to one borrowing relationship totaling \$6.0 million. TDRs totaled \$24.0 million at the end of the first quarter, a \$2.4 million increase over the fourth quarter of 2010. The balance of OREO decreased \$2.6 million from the fourth quarter of 2010 reflective of an increased pace of property dispositions. Compared to the first quarter of 2010, the slight decline in nonperforming assets reflects a \$2.4 million reduction in the nonaccrual loan balance and a \$6.8 million decline in TDRs, partially offset by an \$8.9 million increase in the OREO balance. Nonperforming assets represented 5.76% of total assets at March 31, 2011 compared to 5.54% at December 31, 2010 and 5.66% at March 31, 2010.

Allowance for Loan Losses

We maintain an allowance for loan losses at a level sufficient to provide for the estimated loan losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet date. Credit losses arise from borrowers' inability or unwillingness to repay, and from other risks inherent in the lending process, including collateral risk, operations risk, concentration risk and economic risk. All related risks of lending are considered when assessing the adequacy of the loan loss reserve. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision charged to expense. Loans are charged against the allowance when management believes collection of the principal is unlikely. The allowance for loan losses is based on management's judgment of overall loan quality. This is a significant estimate based on a detailed analysis of the loan portfolio. The balance can and will change based on changes in the assessment of the portfolio's overall credit quality. We evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses on a quarterly basis.

The allowance for loan losses was \$33.9 million at March 31, 2011 compared to \$35.4 million at December 31, 2010. The allowance for loan losses was 1.98% of outstanding loans (net of overdrafts) and provided coverage of 35% of nonperforming loans at March 31, 2011 compared to 2.01% and 41%, respectively, at December 31, 2010. The decline in the allowance is due to a lower level of general reserves reflective of improving credit metrics, including a reduction in our classified loan balance, partially due to loan upgrades during the quarter, a lower historical loss ratio and a reduction in past dues. It is management's opinion that the allowance at March 31, 2011 is adequate to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio at quarter-end.

Deposits

Average total deposits were \$2.125 billion for the first quarter, an increase of \$9.5 million, or 0.5%, over the fourth quarter of 2010 and a decrease of \$123.4 million, or 5.5%, from the first quarter of 2010. Deposit levels improved slightly from the linked quarter primarily as a result of growth in public funds, partially offset by declines in certificates of deposit and existing clients moving from the Guarantee Now Account ("GNA") product to repurchase agreements. Public funds balances have increased as anticipated from the linked quarter reflecting seasonality within this deposit category.

Pursuant to changes in the FDIC's Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program, our government guaranteed NOW product was discontinued during the fourth quarter. As of year-end 2010, approximately \$95 million in balances from this product remained in the NOW category, \$95 million migrated to the noninterest bearing DDA category, and \$60 million moved into Repurchase Agreements.

We continue to pursue prudent pricing discipline to manage the mix of our deposits. Therefore, we are not attempting to compete with higher rate paying competitors for deposits. The decrease from the first quarter of 2010 reflects a reduction in money market accounts (primarily promotional deposits), deposits transferring from GNA to repurchase agreements and lower certificates of deposit balances, partially offset by higher public funds.

MARKET RISK AND INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY

Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity

Overview. Market risk management arises from changes in interest rates, exchange rates, commodity prices, and equity prices. We have risk management policies to monitor and limit exposure to market risk and do not participate in activities that give rise to significant market risk involving exchange rates, commodity prices, or equity prices. In asset and liability management activities, our policies are designed to minimize structural interest rate risk.

Interest Rate Risk Management. Our net income is largely dependent on net interest income. Net interest income is susceptible to interest rate risk to the degree that interest-bearing liabilities mature or re-price on a different basis than interest-earning assets. When interest-bearing liabilities mature or re-price more quickly than interest-earning assets in a given period, a significant increase in market rates of interest could adversely affect net interest income. Similarly, when interest-earning assets mature or re-price more quickly than interest-bearing liabilities, falling interest rates could result in a decrease in net interest income. Net interest income is also affected by changes in the portion of interest-earning assets that are funded by interest-bearing liabilities rather than by other sources of funds, such as noninterest-bearing deposits and shareowners' equity.

We have established a comprehensive interest rate risk management policy, which is administered by management's Asset/Liability Management Committee (ALCO). The policy establishes limits of risk, which are quantitative measures of the percentage change in net interest income (a measure of net interest income at risk) and the fair value of equity capital (a measure of economic value of equity ("EVE") at risk) resulting from a hypothetical change in interest rates for maturities from one day to 30 years. We measure the potential adverse impacts that changing interest rates may have on our short-term earnings, long-term value, and liquidity by employing simulation analysis through the use of computer modeling. The simulation model captures optionality factors such as call features and interest rate caps and floors imbedded in investment and loan portfolio contracts. As with any method of gauging interest rate risk, there are certain shortcomings inherent in the interest rate modeling methodology used by us. When interest rates change, actual movements in different categories of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, loan prepayments, and withdrawals of time and other deposits, may deviate significantly from assumptions used in the model. Finally, the methodology does not measure or reflect the impact that higher rates may have on adjustable-rate loan clients' ability to service their debts, or the impact of rate changes on demand for loan, and deposit products.

We prepare a current base case and three alternative simulations, at least once a quarter, and report the analysis to the Board of Directors. In addition, more frequent forecasts may be produced when interest rates are particularly uncertain or when other business conditions so dictate.

Our interest rate risk management goal is to avoid unacceptable variations in net interest income and capital levels due to fluctuations in market rates. Management attempts to achieve this goal by balancing, within policy limits, the volume of floating-rate liabilities with a similar volume of floating-rate assets, by keeping the average maturity of fixed-rate asset and liability contracts reasonably matched, by maintaining a pool of administered core deposits, and by adjusting pricing rates to market conditions on a continuing basis.

The balance sheet is subject to testing for interest rate shock possibilities to indicate the inherent interest rate risk. Average interest rates are shocked by plus or minus 100, 200, and 300 basis points ("bp"), although we may elect not to use particular scenarios that we determined are impractical in a current rate environment. It is management's goal to structure the balance sheet so that net interest earnings at risk over a 12-month period and the economic value of equity at risk do not exceed policy guidelines at the various interest rate shock levels.

We augment our quarterly interest rate shock analysis with alternative external interest rate scenarios on a monthly basis. These alternative interest rate scenarios may include non-parallel rate ramps.

Analysis. Measures of net interest income at risk produced by simulation analysis are indicators of an institution's short-term performance in alternative rate environments. These measures are typically based upon a relatively brief period, usually one year. They do not necessarily indicate the long-term prospects or economic value of the institution.

ESTIMATED CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME ⁽¹⁾

Changes in Interest Rates	+300 bp	+200 bp	+100 bp	-100 bp
Policy Limit (±)	10.0%	7.5%	5.0%	5.0%
March 31, 2011	-8.2%	-4.1%	-1.0%	-0.5%
December 31, 2010	-9.7%	-5.5%	-1.9%	-1.0%

The Net Interest Income at Risk position improved for the first quarter of 2011, when compared to the prior quarter-end, for all rate scenarios. Our largest exposure is at the +300 basis point (“bp”) level, with a measure of -8.2%, which is still within our policy limit of -10.0%. This is an improvement over the prior quarter reflecting higher levels of overnight funds, which re-price immediately. All measures of net interest income at risk are within our prescribed policy limits.

The measures of equity value at risk indicate our ongoing economic value by considering the effects of changes in interest rates on all of our cash flows, and discounting the cash flows to estimate the present value of assets and liabilities. The difference between these discounted values of the assets and liabilities is the economic value of equity, which, in theory, approximates the fair value of our net assets.

ESTIMATED CHANGES IN ECONOMIC VALUE OF EQUITY⁽¹⁾

Changes in Interest Rates	+300 bp	+200 bp	+100 bp	-100 bp
Policy Limit (±)	12.5%	10.0%	7.5%	7.5%
March 31, 2011	-2.5%	1.5%	3.0%	-6.3%
December 31, 2010	-1.2%	2.4%	3.5%	-6.6%

Our risk profile, as measured by EVE, declined for the first quarter of 2011 when compared to the linked quarter-end with the exception of the down 100 bp scenario, which reported a favorable slight increase. In the rising rate scenarios, our largest exposure is at the up 300 bp scenario, with a measure of -2.5%, which is still within our policy limit of -12.5%. This is a decline from the prior quarter primarily attributable to higher Treasury and FHLB curves, reflecting declines in the market value of loans and increases in the market values of deposits and FHLB borrowings, respectively. All measures of economic value of equity are within our prescribed policy limits.

(1) Down 200 and 300 rate scenarios have been excluded due to the current historically low interest rate environment.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity

In general terms, liquidity is a measurement of our ability to meet our cash needs. Our objective in managing our liquidity is to maintain our ability to meet loan commitments, purchase securities or repay deposits and other liabilities in accordance with their terms, without an adverse impact on our current or future earnings. Our liquidity strategy is guided by policies that are formulated and monitored by our ALCO and senior management, and which take into account the marketability of assets, the sources and stability of funding and the level of unfunded commitments. We regularly evaluate all of our various funding sources with an emphasis on accessibility, stability, reliability and cost-effectiveness. Our principal source of funding has been our client deposits, supplemented by our short-term and long-term borrowings, primarily from securities sold under repurchase agreements, federal funds purchased and FHLB borrowings. We believe that the cash generated from operations, our borrowing capacity and our access to capital resources are sufficient to meet our future operating capital and funding requirements.

Overall, we have the ability to generate \$634.5 million in additional liquidity through all of our available resources. In addition to primary borrowing outlets mentioned above, we also have the ability to generate liquidity by borrowing from the Federal Reserve Discount Window and through brokered deposits. Management recognizes the importance of maintaining liquidity and has developed a Contingency Liquidity Plan, which addresses various liquidity stress levels and our response and action based on the level of severity. We periodically test our credit facilities for access to the funds, but also understand that as the severity of the liquidity level increases that certain credit facilities may no longer be available. The liquidity available to us is considered sufficient to meet the ongoing needs.

We view our investment portfolio as a liquidity source and have the option to pledge the portfolio as collateral for borrowings or deposits, and/or sell selected securities. The portfolio consists of debt issued by the U.S. Treasury, U.S. governmental agencies, and municipal governments. The weighted average life of the portfolio is approximately 1.7 years and as of quarter-end had a net unrealized pre-tax gain of \$1.1 million.

Our average liquidity (defined as funds sold plus interest bearing deposits with other banks less funds purchased) of \$238.1 million during the first quarter of 2011 compared to an average net overnight funds *sold* position of \$164.9 million in the prior quarter and an average overnight funds *sold* position of \$297.0 million in the first quarter of 2010. The higher balance when compared to the linked quarter primarily reflects the increase in deposits mentioned above, growth in short-term borrowings and declines in the loan portfolio, partially offset by a higher level of investment securities. The unfavorable variance as compared to first quarter 2010 is primarily attributable to declines in deposits and the deployment of funds to the investment portfolio, partially offset by a net reduction in loans.

Capital expenditures are expected to approximate \$4.0 million over the next 12 months, which consist primarily of office remodeling, office equipment and furniture, and technology purchases. Management believes that these capital expenditures will be funded with existing resources without impairing our ability to meet our on-going obligations.

Borrowings

At March 31, 2011, advances from the FHLB consisted of \$60.1 million in outstanding debt consisting of 50 notes. During the first quarter of 2011, the Bank made FHLB advance payments totaling approximately \$0.8 million and obtained one new FHLB advance totaling \$0.8 million. The FHLB notes are collateralized by a blanket floating lien on all of our 1-4 family residential mortgage loans, commercial real estate mortgage loans, and home equity mortgage loans.

We have issued two junior subordinated deferrable interest notes to wholly owned Delaware statutory trusts. The first note for \$30.9 million was issued to CCBG Capital Trust I in November 2004. The second note for \$32.0 million was issued to CCBG Capital Trust II in May 2005. The interest payments for the CCBG Capital Trust I borrowing are due quarterly at a variable rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 1.90%. The rate for the first quarter was 2.20%. This note matures on December 31, 2034. The interest payment for the CCBG Capital Trust II borrowing adjusts quarterly to a variable rate of LIBOR plus a margin of 1.80%. The rate for the first quarter was 2.10%. This note matures on June 15, 2035. The proceeds of these borrowings were used to partially fund acquisitions.

In accordance with certain Federal Reserve Resolutions (discussed in further detail within our 2010 Form 10-K), CCBG must receive approval from the Federal Reserve prior to incurring new debt, refinancing existing debt, or making interest payments on its trust preferred securities. Under the terms of each trust preferred securities note, in the event of default or if we elect to defer interest on the note, we may not, with certain exceptions, declare or pay dividends or make distributions on our capital stock or purchase or acquire any of our capital stock.

Capital

Equity capital was \$259.3 million as of March 31, 2011, compared to \$259.0 million as of December 31, 2010. Our leverage ratio was 9.74% and 10.10%, respectively, and our tangible capital ratio was 6.73% and 6.82%, respectively, for the same periods. Our risk-adjusted capital ratio of 14.82% at March 31, 2011, exceeds the 10% threshold to be designated as “well-capitalized” under the risk-based regulatory guidelines. Management believes its strong capital base has offered protection during the course of the current economic downturn. In addition, to date we have not initiated a capital raise and were not a TARP participant.

During the first three months of 2011, shareowners’ equity increased \$0.3 million, or 0.5%, on an annualized basis. During this same period, shareowners’ equity was positively impacted by net income of \$1.3 million, the issuance of stock totaling approximately \$0.4 million, accrual for performance shares of approximately \$0.2 million, and a change in our net unrealized gain on securities of \$0.1 million. Dividends paid reduced shareowners’ equity by approximately \$1.7 million.

At March 31, 2011, our common stock had a book value of \$15.13 per diluted share compared to \$15.15 at December 31, 2010. Book value is impacted by changes in the amount of our net unrealized gain or loss on investment securities available-for-sale and changes to the amount of our unfunded pension liability both of which are recorded through other comprehensive income. At March 31, 2011, the net unrealized gain on investment securities available for sale was \$0.7 million and the amount of our unfunded pension liability was \$16.4 million.

State and federal regulations place certain restrictions on the payment of dividends by both CCBG and the Bank. The Bank’s aggregate net profits for the past two years are significantly less than the dividends declared and paid to CCBG over that same period. In addition, in accordance with the Federal Reserve Resolutions (discussed in further detail within our 2010 Form 10-K), the Bank must seek approval from the Federal Reserve prior to declaring or paying a dividend. As a result, the Bank must obtain approval from its regulators to issue and declare any further dividends to CCBG. The Bank may not receive the required approvals. As of March 31, 2011, we believe we have sufficient cash to fund shareowner dividends in 2011 should the Board choose to declare and pay a

quarterly dividend during the year. Even if we have sufficient cash to pay dividends, we must seek approval from the Federal Reserve to pay dividends to our shareowners and may not receive the required approvals. We will continue to evaluate our dividend quarterly and consult with our regulators concerning matters relating to our overall dividend policy.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not currently engage in the use of derivative instruments to hedge interest rate risks. However, we are a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risks in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our clients.

At March 31, 2011, we had \$312.9 million in commitments to extend credit and \$11.6 million in standby letters of credit. Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a client so long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by us to guarantee the performance of a client to a third party. We use the same credit policies in establishing commitments and issuing letters of credit as we do for on-balance sheet instruments.

If commitments arising from these financial instruments continue to require funding at historical levels, management does not anticipate that such funding will adversely impact its ability to meet on-going obligations. In the event these commitments require funding in excess of historical levels, management believes current liquidity, advances available from the FHLB and the Federal Reserve, and investment security maturities provide a sufficient source of funds to meet these commitments.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The consolidated financial statements and accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which require us to make various estimates and assumptions (see Note 1 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements). We believe that, of our significant accounting policies, the following may involve a higher degree of judgment and complexity.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is established through a charge to the provision for loan losses. Provisions are made to reserve for estimated losses in loan balances. The allowance for loan losses is a significant estimate and is evaluated quarterly by us for adequacy. The use of different estimates or assumptions could produce a different required allowance, and thereby a larger or smaller provision recognized as expense in any given reporting period. A further discussion of the allowance for loan losses can be found in the section entitled "Allowance for Loan Losses" and Note 1 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Form 10-K.

Intangible Assets. Intangible assets consist primarily of goodwill, core deposit assets, and other identifiable intangibles that were recognized in connection with various acquisitions. Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of acquired businesses over the fair market value of their identifiable net assets. We perform an impairment review on an annual basis during the fourth quarter or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Impairment testing requires management to make significant judgments and estimates relating to the fair value of its reporting unit. Significant changes to our estimates, when and if they occur, could result in a non-cash impairment charge and thus have a material impact on our operating results for any particular reporting period. A goodwill impairment charge would not adversely affect the calculation of our risk based and tangible capital ratios. Our annual review for impairment determined that no impairment existed at December 31, 2010. Because the book value of our equity exceeded our market capitalization as of March 31, 2011, we considered the guidelines set forth in ASC Topic 350 to discern whether further testing for potential impairment was needed. Based on this assessment, we concluded that no further testing for impairment was needed as of March 31, 2011.

Core deposit assets represent the premium we paid for core deposits. Core deposit intangibles are amortized on the straight-line method over various periods ranging from 5-10 years. Generally, core deposits refer to nonpublic, non-maturing deposits including noninterest-bearing deposits, NOW, money market and savings. We make certain estimates relating to the useful life of these assets, and rate of run-off based on the nature of the specific assets and the client bases acquired. If there is a reason to believe there has been a permanent loss in value, management will assess these assets for impairment. Any changes in the original estimates may materially affect our operating results.

Pension Assumptions. We have a defined benefit pension plan for the benefit of substantially all of our associates. Our funding policy with respect to the pension plan is to contribute amounts to the plan sufficient to meet minimum funding requirements as set by law. Pension expense, reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income in noninterest expense as "Salaries and Associate Benefits," is determined by an external actuarial valuation based on assumptions that are evaluated annually as of December 31, the measurement date for the pension obligation. The Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition reflect an accrued pension benefit cost due to funding levels and unrecognized actuarial amounts. The most significant assumptions used in calculating the pension obligation are the weighted-average discount rate used to determine the present value of the pension obligation, the weighted-average expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, and the assumed rate of annual compensation increases. These assumptions are re-evaluated annually with the external actuaries, taking into consideration both current market conditions and anticipated long-term market conditions.

The weighted-average discount rate is determined by matching the anticipated Retirement Plan cash flows to a long-term corporate Aa-rated bond index and solving for the underlying rate of return, which investing in such securities would generate. This methodology is applied consistently from year-to-year. We anticipate using a 5.55% discount rate in 2011.

The weighted-average expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is determined based on the current and anticipated future mix of assets in the plan. The assets currently consist of equity securities, U.S. Government and Government Agency debt securities, and other securities (typically temporary liquid funds awaiting investment). We anticipate using a rate of return on plan assets of 8.0% for 2011.

The assumed rate of annual compensation increases is based on expected trends in salaries and the employee base. We anticipate using a compensation increase of 4.25% for 2011 reflecting current market trends.

Information on components of our net periodic benefit cost is provided in Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included herein and Note 12 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2010 Form 10-K.

TABLE I
AVERAGE BALANCES & INTEREST RATES

(Taxable Equivalent Basis - Dollars in Thousands)	Three Months Ended								
	March 31, 2011			December 31, 2010			March 31, 2010		
	Balance	Interest	Rate	Balance	Interest	Rate	Balance	Interest	Rate
ASSETS									
Loans, Net of Unearned Interest ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$ 1,730,330	\$ 24,101	5.65 %	\$ 1,782,916	\$ 25,799	5.74 %	\$ 1,886,367	\$ 27,180	5.84 %
Taxable Investment Securities	231,153	851	1.48	178,926	799	1.78	71,325	500	2.81
Tax-Exempt Investment Securities ⁽²⁾	74,226	337	1.81	83,469	434	2.08	97,316	753	3.10
Funds Sold	242,893	171	0.28	172,738	95	0.24	303,280	172	0.23
Total Earning Assets	2,278,602	25,460	4.53 %	2,218,049	27,127	4.85 %	2,358,288	28,605	4.92 %
Cash and Due From Banks	50,942			51,030			54,873		
Allowance for Loan Losses	(34,822)			(37,713)			(44,584)		
Other Assets	348,295			345,427			329,842		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,643,017			\$ 2,576,793			\$ 2,698,419		
LIABILITIES									
NOW Accounts	\$ 786,939	\$ 261	0.13 %	\$ 837,625	\$ 296	0.14 %	\$ 867,004	\$ 384	0.18 %
Money Market Accounts	278,562	131	0.19	282,887	134	0.19	374,161	689	0.75
Savings Accounts	144,623	18	0.05	136,276	16	0.05	126,352	15	0.05
Other Time Deposits	360,575	848	0.95	382,870	1,078	1.12	438,112	1,850	1.71
Total Interest Bearing Deposits	1,570,699	1,258	0.32	1,639,658	1,524	0.37	1,805,629	2,938	0.66
Short-Term Borrowings	87,267	111	0.52	34,706	99	1.14	30,673	17	0.22
Subordinated Notes Payable	62,887	340	2.16	62,887	342	2.13	62,887	651	4.14
Other Long-Term Borrowings	50,345	494	3.98	50,097	508	4.02	49,981	526	4.27
Total Interest Bearing Liabilities	1,771,198	2,203	0.50 %	1,787,348	2,473	0.55 %	1,949,170	4,132	0.86 %
Noninterest Bearing Deposits	554,680			476,209			443,131		
Other Liabilities	55,536			50,614			37,563		
TOTAL LIABILITIES	2,381,414			2,314,171			2,429,864		
SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY									
TOTAL SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY	261,603			262,622			268,555		
TOTAL LIABILITIES & EQUITY	\$ 2,643,017			\$ 2,576,793			\$ 2,698,419		
Interest Rate Spread			4.03 %			4.30 %			4.06 %
Net Interest Income		\$ 23,257			\$ 24,654			\$ 24,473	
Net Interest Margin⁽³⁾			4.14 %			4.41 %			4.21 %

(1) Average balances include nonaccrual loans. Interest income includes fees on loans of \$366,000 and \$363,000, for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

(2) Interest income includes the effects of taxable equivalent adjustments using a 35% tax rate.

(3) Taxable equivalent net interest income divided by average earning assets.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See “Market Risk and Interest Rate Sensitivity” in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, above, which is incorporated herein by reference. Management has determined that no additional disclosures are necessary to assess changes in information about market risk that have occurred since December 31, 2010.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of March 31, 2011, the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer each concluded that as of March 31, 2011, the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, we maintained effective disclosure controls and procedures.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has reviewed our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). There have been no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting during our most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are party to lawsuits and claims arising out of the normal course of business. In management’s opinion, there are no known pending claims or litigation, the outcome of which would, individually or in the aggregate, have a material effect on our consolidated results of operations, financial position, or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. “Risk Factors” in our 2010 Form 10-K, as updated in our subsequent quarterly reports. The risks described in our 2010 Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

(A) Exhibits

- 31.1 Certification of William G. Smith, Jr., Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 31.2 Certification of J. Kimbrough Davis, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
- 32.1 Certification of William G. Smith, Jr., Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.
- 32.2 Certification of J. Kimbrough Davis, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Capital City Bank Group, Inc., Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned Chief Financial Officer hereunto duly authorized.

CAPITAL CITY BANK GROUP, INC.
(Registrant)

By: /s/ J. Kimbrough Davis

J. Kimbrough Davis
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Mr. Davis is the Principal Financial Officer and has been duly authorized to sign on behalf of the Registrant)

Date: May 9, 2011

**Certification of CEO Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act
Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) as Adopted Pursuant to
Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, William G. Smith, Jr., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Capital City Bank Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ William G. Smith, Jr.

William G. Smith, Jr.
Chairman, President and
Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 9, 2011

**Certification of CFO Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act
Rule 13a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) as Adopted Pursuant to
Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

I, J. Kimbrough Davis, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Capital City Bank Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ J. Kimbrough Davis

J. Kimbrough Davis
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 9, 2011

Certification of CEO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned certifies that (1) this Quarterly Report of Capital City Bank Group, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2011, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (this "Report"), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and (2) the information contained in this Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Company and its results of operations as of and for the periods covered therein.

/s/ William G. Smith, Jr.

William G. Smith, Jr.
Chairman, President, and
Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 9, 2011

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

Certification of CFO Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the undersigned certifies that (1) this Quarterly Report of Capital City Bank Group, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2011, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (this "Report"), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and (2) the information contained in this Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Company and its results of operations as of and for the periods covered therein.

/s/ J. Kimbrough Davis

J. Kimbrough Davis
Executive Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 9, 2011

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906, or other document authenticating, acknowledging or otherwise adopting the signature that appears in typed form within the electronic version of this written statement required by Section 906, has been provided to the Company and will be retained by the Company and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.